G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-93 ~~191~~. (Land Rev)

File No. DS

Serial Nos. 14

Later references.
S.R 194-95-96.

P-65

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–14

Jahagir ~~Part~~ B for April 97

SUBJECT.

Management of the Inchidevarpus Jahagir villages.

Indexed

Countersigned

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

~~*The Chief Secretary to the*~~
Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Commissioner of
Nagar Division.

Dated 5th July 1895.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2681

No. Jud. 2.

Conveys sanction to the transfer of the management of the Mahadevapur Jahagir from Lutchmen Rao to Rama Rao, the adopted grandson of the original grantee.

Extract from the Register
Just copy
28/6/95

2

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

~~*The Chief Secretary to the*~~
~~*Dewan of Mysore.*~~
The Commissioner of
Ashtagram Division.

To

Dated 11th September 1874.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 417

No. Jud. 9

In reply to your No. 2681/2
dated 2nd July 1874, forwarding
for information Copy of
Docket from the Deputy
Commissioner of the
Mysore District No. 574
Dated 8th September 1874
reporting the transfer of
the Mahadevapur Jahagir
from Latchmiah Row
to Rama Row on the
Demise.

Extract from the Register herein
Cy
28/11/74

63

2

General Records.

Receipt No 2631
9.2.
9.7.74 addressed to the
Commissioner of Lands &c.

26/6.

Certified Copy of Entry
Found in the Book of Records
Kept in the Civil Registry
Division, Entitled "Book
for Registering Registers
for Births"

Sgd.
28/6/95

Certified
28/6/95

5

ment was taken
into use at the
Waterworks Meeting
which they
were invited to
it, & also a
form on which
information is
required - the
papers relating
to the transfer
which took place
before 1874 &
the transfer
which was
effected on that
year, should
also be sent
for - It must
be explained
to the Jakeman
& Carver the
[illegible]
that they will be required
by the Managers
to enter into
bonds to the Council
to pay damages to the
Mayor & the
Waterworks - 17-7-95

W. Neild

17/7/95

Drafted WN 17/7/95

Submitted by the
2nd Councillor
with a draft - the
reference to
membership
information is
available here.
Have the draft
submitted for
approval ✓
18-7-95

(9)

Subject to such matters for
decision of the Secretary
order

10 - 12 - 95

Read
12/12

No - Mr Goldstone proposes
that the Govt may
purchase with the
very greatest reluctance
and I do not think
otherwise this matter
is proceeded
as he suggested

Wade
12/12/95

Put up
13 - 12 - 95

14/12
14/12

to the vrittidars. He is
negligent in collecting
the revenue of the village
completely in time. He
does not properly attend
to the repairs of tallavaram
He does not render proper
accounts to them. Some
of the vrittidars have taken
steps to recover their dues
thro' the Civil Court. The
negligence of the Talagiridar
to repair the malas and
boundary marks has brought
the village to decay, thereby
subjecting the vrittidars
to loss. The vrittidars
therefore pray that all
the "maddika lands" of
the Mahadevapuram village
be divided into 2 9 parts
& given over to the possession
of the respective vrittidars;
that Melvari and other
items of revenue be also
divided among the vrittidars
that the Talagiridar having

had rendered
distinguished
services to the State.
That analogy
will not warrant
We Zamindars
may be informed
that it is their
interest to manage
all disputes
amicably with
vrittidars. & that
if they do not some body may
it must be left
to the vrittidars to
settle them amicably
in the best way
they can, & if the
vrittidars regard
themselves as joint
proprietors of the
alienated village
it is for them to
proceed under the
provisions of the
T. of. Code to have
the joint interest
split up. But it
is understood that
any of them may
take leave & the
parties must be
advised to settle

Vide Mr. Thurston's letter dt. 28.11.11
Vide Mr. Thurston's letter dt. 27.1.11.

by his conduct, shewn himself to be unfit to do the duty of the Agent of the Malabarapur village, he must be removed & a proper man appointed in his place, & that before granting this request, the village may be temporarily taken under the management of Govt & arrangements made for the collection of the outstanding arrears."

2. In recommending the above application of the Petitioners, the Dy Commr stated that the Jahagirdar was, as represented by his own Sons, extravagant in his habits, squandered his income, incurred large debts, and was unable to manage the affairs of the Jahagir, & that the Petrs advocate Mr Raghavachar represented that if any of the writtidars be selected

letter no. 1391 dt 7-6-95
(Paper no. 9).

of management, and that
the petitioners were themselves
the village since the time
of its grant with the aid
of a paid Sumneta, that
there are persons to testify
to this, & that subsequently
the Jahagirdar was appoint-
-ed as their agent in ac-
cordance with the late
Chief Commrs circular
requiring the appointment
of an agent to Inam villages
From the copies of Sanads
produced by the Petitioners,
it is observed ⊛ (1) that H. H. the
late Maharaja Krishna
raj Wadeyar granted
to Bukshi Rama Rao,
in the year 1818, 7 villages
as Sarvamanyam, in
appreciation of the Services
rendered by him as a
Military officer; * (2) that
in the year 1819 Bukshi
Rama Rao divided the

⊛ Copy of the Sanad of
14th October 1818.

1. Kasalalli (Maladevapura)
2. Minanigattanaialli
3. Moregondanalalli
4. Seehalli
5. Doddamalagowdu
6. Icerannajapura
7. Hebburgrama.

* Copy of the copper plate
Sanad of the year 1819.

Mahadevapur village having a beriz of about 624 Pagodas into 23 vrittis and granted them as Sarvamanyem as shewn in the margin with power to alienate by means of Sale, gift &c. and (3) that at the request of Srilisha Ramarao, H.H. the Maharaja bestowed on him in the year 1822 the village of Himavagalli also as Sarvamanyem for the purpose of his granting the same as vrittis for the maintenance of the Vinneswara Swami temple and also for supplementing the Agrahara vrittis already granted by him.

8 vrittis to Vinneswara Swamy Temple
5 " retained by Mr Ramarao as Yajamanvritti"
15 " to Brahmins.

@ Samad of 3d June 1822.

@ Beriz about 141 Pagodas.

5. With regard to query no. iii, the present Jahagirdar says as follows:- †

"From the time of the grant of the vrittis, the management thereof remains in the hands of the Jahagirdars

† Please see his letter no. 35 to the Commr.

The writtis were not given
into the possession of the
zemindars when the
management has been
in the hands of the Jaha-
girdars continuously for
78 years, there is no reason
now to make over such
management to Govt.
Many of the zemindars
have been paid their dues.
only a few have not
received their payments
and there is nothing
to prevent them from
going to the Jahagirdar's
office & receiving their
dues. They have made
a false complaint simply
to bring a bad name to
the Jahagirdars. Even now
they may represent their
grievances to the Jahagir-
dar & have the matter
settled, & if they are not

Satisfied, they may appeal
to Govt. For the above
reasons, the management
of the Mahadevapur
~~Patta~~ and Hanacagalli
villages cannot be transferred
to Govt".

6. In Septr 1870, the
Chief Commr approved ×
of the Suggestions of the
then Supdt of the Ashta-
-gram Division, to entrust
to Regimentar Lakshmanrao
the management of all
the Jahagir villages with
the exception of Seshalli
& Menasiyathanahalli
which fell to the Share
of Balaji Rao the adopted
father of the present Ja-
-hagirdar Rama Rao.
Rama Rao was then pro-
-mised that if he should
conduct himself in a dignified
& befitting manner, and

× Please see letter no. 1538 & Enl. 98 dt 22.9.70 from the Supdt of the Ashtagram Division to the Secy to the Chief Commr & Secy's letter no 3428 Enl. 111 dt 28-9-70 in reply to the above —

to Conciliate the other mem-
-bers of his house, his
reasonable desire to per-
-form the duties appert-
-aining to the legal re-
-presentation of the head
of the family, would be
taken into Consideration
In June 1874, the Hon'ble
Comm'r of the Sholapur
Division recommended
that Rama Raoji might
be entrusted with the
management of the
Jahagir villages, he
had acted up to the advice
of the Chief Comm'r and
obtained the Consent of
the various Co-Sharers
in the Jahagir & gained
their confidence. In July
1874, the Chief Comm'r
ordered the re-transfer
of the management of the
whole Jahagir from

× Letter no. 175/6 dt 16-6-74
from the Comm'r, Sholapur
Division, to the
Secy to the Chief Comm'r
& Secy's letter no. 2681/2
dt 9-7-74
Extract for
the Appellant

Lachiman Rao & Rama Rao. In August 1874 Rama Rao was put in charge of the Jahagir. Since then, he appears to have been managing the affairs of the Jahagir.

7 The no of Mirasidars in the villages of Mahadevapur & Munagagalli appears to be 28. Only 12 of the number have come forward to represent their grievances, the rest living in different places. The Petrs representing the 12 Mirasidars therefore request that the Subject-matter of their representation may be made known to the other Mirasidars by means of a Notification in the Gazette, & their prayer granted after enquiry.

8. The Petrs have not produced any documentary evidence to show that

* as shewn in the margin of para 4.

Please See their Petn 828—2—95.

(General Serial No. 32.) (1)

1893.

No. 329 / 3348

Name of Petitioner. M. Balaji Rao & M. Bhista Paul sons of

~~Village~~. Madadevapur Jahagirdar Khandesh

Taluk. Veeré Venkata Subbaiah Thotty

District. Old Agrahar Mysore

Date of Arzi. 31-5-93

Date of Receipt. 2-6-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. — Two —

ABSTRACT.

Application for the daily grant of 4 seers price per ~~re~~ for their maintenance and a scholarship to enable them to prosecute their studies

Petitioners by caste 2 Mhe
Have a previous claim & right
petn because we are paupers
& the proof is that we
have lodged a pauper suit in
the District Judge's Court for
restitution of property —
Sup'd for Devasthanam notice

[illegible]

Re 2/0 0/3

Abstract pubup 3/6

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
CAMP
REG'D. No. 3 2 4
DATE 6 7

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
DATE 21-6-93

M. Balaji Rao

Gen. Serial No. 22.]

189

No. 2136

Name of Petitioner. Sahaji Rao & Bhola Rao
Next Guardian of Shivan Rama Rao

Village. Sypuri

Taluk. Do

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 16. 6. 95

Date of Receipt.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners pray that, pending final orders in their petition dated 2.6.95 Government may interfere in the matter of their village which are at present mismanaged.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REGD. NO. 2136/12
DATE 17-2-95

Dated
17 Feb 95
2136

To
The Excellency
The Right Honble
Duran Rahim
Esquire —

Humbly Sheweth
That Petitioner
Balajirao and Bro
Paul Gian Chand
& Duran Rahim
Having at Bar
-bar Esquire —
Has respectfully
Submitted a Petition
June last
setting forth the
-said condition and
Soliciting redress
which Petn was
-ferred with enclosures
-ment 9/12/94 from
Dr Cannon Esquire Dist
directing Petr to file
a copy of Judgment in
Suit Case Not standing
Still Untile in Petitioner
Judges Court Esquire for

(4)

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
JUN 1895
fol 710

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 1 & 1 file VP

No. 1379

Submits brief Report on the petition of Balaji Rao and Sri Bibo Bantli great grand sons of Mahadevapur Jaghirdar.

Whatare the qualifications of these young men who are for appointments. Enquire - also if the Jaghir allegs have been surveyed & settled and

12/6/95

Note to the Dewan accdly 13/6/95

Draft 13/6

Note U. 8/6/95

Subt to the Dewan-Commissioner's letter ref to & the orders recorded in Pro No 2136.

10/6

No. 1377
Enclosures No. 1 to 16 & VP.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 5 June 1895.

(4)

From

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

Government
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

SIR.

With reference to your official memorandum, No: $\frac{\text{Rev}}{1423}$, dated $\frac{6}{16}$ April 1895, forwarding for report, petitions received from Balajee Rao and Mahadeva Rao, great grandsons of Dewan, Rama Rao, jaghirdar of Mahadevapur, I have the honor to submit for your information, letter No: 140, dated 30th ultimo, in original, with its accompaniments, received from the 3rd General Assistant Commissioner, reporting, inter alia, the

the general condition of the gaghir, its management, and the present state of the petitioners. It will be observed that the petitioners have apparently settled their differences with their father, and withdrawn their application for a piee allowance. Instead of appearing before the Sub Division officer, they contented themselves with submitting a petition, asking for a loan, from Government. This is not supported by all the parties interested in the gaghir, or accompanied by detailed accounts of the present encumbrances on their gaghir villages. their income &c, And

I do not consider it necessary how to offer any remarks on the application for a loan of ₹ 2,000 Rupees.

The petitions received with the memo under reply, are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Ryotwar District

Compt.
Ally

No. 140

The Govt. Sheristadar's office
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 3rd May 1905.

(4a)

From,

The 3rd Govt. Sheristadar
Mysore District

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 1922, dated 18th ultimo directing enquiry and submission of a brief report in respect of two petitions sent up to Government by Srita Pantha Balaji Rao great grandsons of Dewan Purna Rao Jagirdar of Mahadevapur, I have the honor to submit for the information of Government an English version presented to me by the said petitioners in answer to a notice issued to them to appear before me for enquiry into the matter of their petitions.

2. The said petitioners are the sons of Mr. Purna Rao present Jagirdar of Mahadevapur. During the Purna Rao contracted by Mr. Purna Rao the Jagir income was found to be hardly sufficient to subsist the family after paying interest on

on the said debts. Hence the petitioners, dissatisfied with the uneconomical management of the family affairs, of their father, filed suits to recover their shares in the jaghir unencumbered by the debts of Sm: Rama Rao. As the Civil action progressed at its usual slow pace, and as the petitioners father ceased to support them owing to their hostile attitude towards him, the petitioners were reduced to extreme want. It was in this state of their circumstances that the petitioners sent up their urgee to Government soliciting the grant of rice dole to them for their support.

3. Lately the father and the sons appears to have settled their differences amicably and to be living together. Thus the necessity for the petitioners to apply for Government aid for their support has ceased off.

4. Now, the petitioners prayer contained in the enclosed English petition is, for the grant of a loan of rupees forty two thousand by Government on low interest on the security of their jaghir, as has been done in the cases of their cousins Risaldar Krishna Row and the late Rejimentdar Sriq Lukshman Row's sons.

5. It is needless to point out that the petitioners belong to one of the most respectable families, their ancestors Dessay Bicko Panth Fauzdar, Rania Rao, and Butshi Bheemee Route having rendered distinguished and valuable services to the State, and enjoyed honors and jaghirs bestowed on them by H. H. the late Maharajah Srishtna Raja Wadayar Bahadur, both of his own accord, and at the recommendation of the British Government. There is no doubt that the grant of jaghirs to the family was chiefly intended to provide for the permanent maintenance of the family compatable with its respectability or at least to prevent the possibility of their being reduced to want.

6. The enclosed pamphlet containing a brief history of the family together with copies of letters from distinguished British officers, and Firmans from the late Maharaja, shows, in what high estimation the family was once held by the two Ruling Powers. It therefore seems to me that any liberal consideration which Government can show to the petitioners, will

will be quite in consonance with the honorable and generous treatment accorded to their ancestors by the two Governments.

7. The present annual income from the Jaghir is said to be Rupees four thousand and odd, and the liabilities of the Jaghirdar is believed to amount to forty two thousand rupees. The loan of rupees Forty Two thousand now asked of Government, on the mortgage of the Jaghir, does not seem to me to be a bad investment. Further it is believed that the present income from the Jaghir is at its lowest ebb owing to the unskillful and neglected management of the estate by Mr. Rama Rao, and that it may considerably increase under Government management, and prove adequate not only to pay the interest and to sink the debt, but also to disburse a small allowance for the maintenance of the family.

9. While the Jaghir remains under the management of Government till the liquidation of the whole debt, it may not be too much to bestow some small appointments on the sons of Mr. Rama Rao with due regard to their qualifications, & in addition to small allowance from the Jaghir income referred to in the above para.

10.

To

(4)

A. Pantaleon Esqr
3rd General Asst Surgeon
& 1st Class Magistrate
Cuyone district

Respected Sir.

With reference to your letter No: 3-3 dated 6th ult requesting me to forward medical certificate for being examined in connection with my petition of 16th ult addressed to His Excellency the Governor praying that I may be allowed to retire on invalid pension on account of the following reasons as "follows.—

1. My constitution & health are greatly impaired owing to the nature of the duties I have had to perform during the last 15 years of my service, & I am in fact unfit for a long time, hence the Govt is entitled to my services for one hundred days in the year; & I have not the slightest objection to undergo the medical examination as required by the Govt, but I am unable to attend the Civil Surgeon's office as I am confined to bed with fever & ague. My petition was also submitted praying that my salaries

may be taken care of by God as it has increased the Bank now the said claims and a compromise effected by which a division of the Profits jointly made and made. Each of us is liable to pay a debt of Rs. 4000, the income of each share being between Rs. 200 & 300. The present Management rests with our father as usual. —

We now beg to represent that the debts having been reduced to a little extent and consolidated in a lump sum of Rs. 4000 and the profits increasing about 200 - we pulled and sent compatible pay. The Govt may be graciously pleased to sanction an advance of a debt of 4000 on the security of the share in accordance with the Govt proceedings quoted and grievous made for the amelioration of our present condition -

With regard to the two items debited by the Executive & the Validity of our family we beg tendering herewith a copy of testimonials for kind perusal -

In conclusion we pray
that you will be pleased
to recommend our
case to the favourable consideration
of the Govt. with your
strong support.

For which act of
justice & generosity
we as in duty bound
shall ever pray.

Dated Nasik
15th
August

M. Balaji Rao
& Petitioners

S.K. 5

No. 1391

Enclosures No. 1 folio 9

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Seringapatam 5 June 1895.

From A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esqre
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To Government
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durbar~~ of Mysore.

SIR.

I have the honor to submit for perusal, and orders of Government, petitions dated the 25th February and 30th May 95, with two enclosures, presented by Dharmadhikari Chakravarti Iyengar, Kasi Rama char, and 15 others, holding writtes or inams, in the Suvarnamanyam Villages of Mahadevapur, and Hemasagahally, situated in the Seringapatam, and T. Narsipur Taluks, respectively.

2. His Highness, the late Maharaja Krishnaraj

Krishnaraj Wadayer, granted these and 6 other villages to Bakshi Rama Rao, in jaghir, in recognition of his services to the state. The 2 villages having a total levy of 767 hagadas or Rs 2236, were subsequently endowed in Vrittis to Brahmins as shewn in the margin by the jaghirdar.

3. These vrittis have been under the management of the present jaghirdar Rama Rao, as agent of the Vrittidars, from the year 1874. The petitioners have brought to notice that the jaghirdar, has utterly mismanaged the villages, and appropriated to himself the income from the Vrittis and "maddika bals" or items of revenue held in common by the Vrittidars, without rendering them proper accounts. The villages have been, it is said, declining in prosperity, from failure of the jaghirda

Vrittidars — Bhavaragally
Jaghirdar
Vrittis 5 - 5 - -

Jangli Vrittis 6 - 6

Do Brahmins 17 - 23

Total 28 - 34

the management of these two villages may be transferred to Government, or some paid Agent, to be selected by the Deputy Commissioner, in consultation with the Pattidars. Their advocate, Mr. Raghavachar, also represented, that if any of the Pattidars be selected for the office, they would not only produce sureties for his due performance of the duties, but also deposit some ready cash as security.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Comd.
Tdy.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189 5

(5)

(516)

From

To The Dy. Commr. Jaynagar

Dated 12th June 1895

Despatched 18

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 23336

P. No. 1825

With letter No. 1319 dated 5th June 1895 forwarding report on the petitions of Balaies Ram and Mr. Bisto Pant praying for grant of Khasra Nos. [illegible] of Mahadeopur.

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the Dy. Commr. will be good enough to state what the qualifications of these young men are to hold grants of appointments and whether the Jaynagar villages have been surveyed and settled.

By order

14/6

Draft

Reader

Regr. Secy.

SHR 13/6 SHR 15/6

No. 7

Enclosures No. one

S.L.7.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore July 3rd 1895.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Rev. Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

SIR.

With reference to your docket No 2336/1825 dated the 12th June 1895 enquiring of the capabilities of Vidaje Ror and Vieto Nut great grandsons of Dewan Purnaiah, Inspector of Mal= kadeproof, for Government Service I beg to state that they have not passed any examination. A sample of their handwriting is en= closed. It will show how far they have qualified themselves for Government Service. Their fingers have been surveyed, but has not yet been settled. The settlement work will, it is said, be taken up soon.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obed^t Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Ex. 12
6/7/95

139

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
68
8 JUL 95
R 50

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To Rev Secy
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 3rd July 1895
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. One

No. 7

Report on the qualifications of Balaji Rao & Chintamani Rao sons of Dewan Purnaiya Jaghirdar of Nidasale Taluk.

Referred to Mr Bowring
with the Dewans
No. 1391 dy 5.7.95

16/7/95

Submitted for orders
with the Dewans
No. 1391 dy 5.7.95

12/7/95

Submitted to the Dewan
8.12.95

17-7-95

Seen my friend
the Special Asst
in the Military
Dept if such
a Sanad recommended
by the Dewan
& the Military
Secy thinks it
practicable to do so.
I can suggest
nothing further.

To Dewan
21/7/95

Volume is
attached to the
main said above

21/8

Submitted to the Dewan

22/7/95

(SL8) Draft as corrected

Gen. 30.] 189

From

The Rev Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

D.C. & Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 18/7/95

Despatched

Received

General No. 982 ✓
No. Rev. 318

Enclosure No.

D.C. & Commissioner's No 1321 dated 5th June/95 submitting petition from Shamanthur Chikmantha Iyengar & others praying that the Petition in the Sheremanyam Village of Chandrapur may be taken under Government management.

In returning the petition with its enclosures, please note that it is not clear as to

[illegible] the Petitioner referred
were granted and whether
the Jahagirdar conceded the
management of the Villages
to the Petitioner reserved
it to himself. If the management
was at any time vested in
the Petitioner thereafter
when they were directed &
should [illegible] be reported.
The papers relating to the
transfer which took place
before 1874 and the retransfer
[illegible] which was effected
in that year should also
be obtained from the
late Division Commissioner's
office & submitted also
ascertained & reported
whether the Jahagirdar has any right to manage.
the affairs of the Petitioner,
or appears to [illegible]
of the management of the finances
Branches

Examined
18-7-95

Recd
19/7/95

P.L.
18/7/95

Examined
19-7-95

Gen. 51]

1896 G 1/6 655
2674

From The Deputy Commissioner of the Niger Territories

To The Secretary to the Government of Southern Nigeria Lagos and Consuls dealing with Foreign Affairs

Dated 4th March 1896
Despatched
Received

General No. 1100
No.

1 File of English correspondence
2 files of enclosures as per list
Enclosure No. 1 Enclosure Copy

Submits, report on petition of Mohammedan Chiefs of Kano praying for the transfer of the management of their affairs in matters of Religion and Education from the Sudan to Government.

Rec:
7.3.96

Reply
7/3/96

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District

No. 1102. Dated Mysore, March 15th 1876

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 202/P 318 dated the 19th July 1875 in re the petition of Dharmapitkari Channabasappa Jyegar and others, praying for the transfer of the management of their entries in Nadadeipur and Hanchahalli from the Jaghirdar to Government, I have the honor to state as follows.

2. The villages referred to were given to the present Jaghirdar's grandfather in consideration of his services to the State. He divided them into entries and gave them in the year 1819. to certain Brahmins of the Agrahar which he founded in Nadadeipur without any express condition. The wording of the Cowle plate Sanad issued by him to the entitlers (Copy of which produced by the latter is herein enclosed) shows that the entries were given to them as Sarva Inam by the Jaghirdar in the same manner as which the extent the grant is

of the entire village from the Registers
the late Talaveina Commissariat of the Jagir
mainte written.

2. The present writtiars, who want the
management of their writtis to be write
drawn from the present Jagirdar —
State that the writtis were formerly —
managed by their own agent till the
appointment of the Jagirdar as such.
Sirkar and Commissariat to produce any
documentary evidence in regard of
the exercise of their right of manage
ment. The previous papers in connection
with the management of the Jagir
villages generally, do not show such
the case of the above writtis were
separately identified as distinguished
from the rest of the Jagir. The
Jagir villages were managed by the
late 11th Regimental Battalion.
from 1790 to 1804 when the manage
ment was transferred to the present
Jagirdar's [illegible] Ramanad. The file
of Correspondence relating to writti —
-ties transfer sifted from the records
of the late Chingleput Collector's Office
is herewith submitted.

4. The Jagirdar was twice asked to

to State his objection if any to the transfer of the management of the mattila from him; but the just & giving a reply by stating that he wanted a Copies of certain correspondence. — the was told to apply for them and get them on payment therefor - but he did not do so. His reply received on the 19th February 1896, after a third requisition sent on 12th February 1896. is enclosed. From this it will be seen that he is against according to the petitioners' prayer.

5. The enclosures of the docket under reference, as well as the statements of the petitioners, produced before me on the 9th December 1895, and its accompaniments are herewith submitted. —

6. I do not know if this is a matter for Government interference. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obed't Servt

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore district

Comp'd:
[illegible]

3693

1895

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 9th } June.
Despatched 12th }
Received }

Enclosure No. Two.

No. 124 of 1895—96

As requested by the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District in his letter No. 2,220 dated 31st Ultimo, has the honor to forward herewith, the original Inam Settlement Registers of Mahadevapura and Ramasamudram Villages of late Hotsugrama Taluk and to request that they may be returned when no longer required.

Supt. of Inam Settlts.

Inbrm Acd.
The Inam Supdt. was with ref to the 2d. Councillors order on the office note requested to send the Settl papers together with the title deeds.
The Settl. Regrs. have been sent up vide 13/6/96 Nos. 24 and 139.

Submitted to Secty 15/6/96

Order to 2d C.
18/6/96

Rev
10.6.96

Why were these called for?
10/6

Ret

Dy No 813 (15)

2 Papers

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No 23 dated 6th July 1896 from the Dyboman Mysore District, on the subject of the prayer of certain Vattidars in the Sencamangeem villages of Malladerapur & Hoonacagalli respectively in the Seringapatam and T. Narsipur Talukas for the transfer of the management of the Vattiees from the Jahagirdar of Malladerapur to Govt, or for the appointment of a paid agent to look after the Villages in question which are alleged to be now mismanaged by the Jahagirdar.

+ Paper No. 13

Put up
27/4/97

Order thereon:—

The Jahagirdar should be informed that it will be

No 94/86 dt 27/4/97
R 3136 dt 29/4/97
En 2 V.P. English
2 Typed

be to his interest to settle
all disputes amicably
with the Vrittiars. If
they do not come to any
such arrangement, it
must be left to the Jaha-
girdar and to themselves
to settle their disputes
in the best way they can.
But, if the Vrittidars, re-
gard themselves as joint
proprietors of these alienated
villages, it is for them to
proceed, under the provisions
of the Land Rev Code, to
have the joint interest
divided. It is, however,
undesirable that any
extreme measures should
be taken in this matter, as
the parties should,
therefore, be advised to
settle their differences

amicably with the Jaha
girdar.

4/2/97
2 Cs order

27/4/97
Secretary.
2/2/97

To
Mr Dy Commr.
Myaree District
returning the English
and vernacular records —



Draft for sgd.

No. 8404 2 Drafts (16)

Re Instt of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Dated. 27/4/97

No 9487 M/
R 3137 + P. no. 14.

His No 1045 of 9th June 1896

Have the honor to return herewith the Original Inam Settlement Registers of Mahadevapur and Hunasagalli Samvatsaram villages in the Seringapatam & T. Narsipur Taluks, respectively, received with the above.

"By order"

27/4/97 4/2/97

2/2/97 for Secretary